



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,344	10/705,344 11/10/2003		Hidehiro Saho	36261	5170
116	7590	01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
PEARNE &			GEHMAN, BRYON P		
SUITE 1200	7111 5110	LLI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAN	D, OH	44114-3108	3728		

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No	Applicant(a)					
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/705,34	4	SAHO, HIDEHIRO					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Bryon P. G		3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the departent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tion. rs, a reply within the statu r period will apply and will y statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin lory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 June 2004</u> .								
2a)□		☐ This action is no	on-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except f	or formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction an	d/or election requi	rement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmer									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
2) Notice of Dramsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1O-948) Paper Nots/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/10/03</u> , <u>6/28/04</u> . 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/705,344

Art Unit: 3728

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In lines 21 and 22 of the claim, "one of the... tapes" and "the other of thetapes" lack antecedent basis for plural tapes. See also claim 9, lines 8-9 and 10-11.

In claim 4, line 11, "constitutes" is ungrammatical.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maruyama et al. (5,769,236). Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hikita (6,404,181). Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patent Abstracts of Japan 07165260. Each discloses an electronic part supplying tape comprising a recessed portion (5; 5; described cavity part; respectively), a feed hole (10; 3; 6), a first connecting portion (4a, 4a; 12, 12; K, K), a second connecting portion (4b, 4b; 14, 14; molded connecting structure), an alignment

Art Unit: 3728

means (there being two connecting portions in each reference), and a holding means (interengaging portions of the connecting portions).

As to claims 2-4, each of the connecting portions defines fitting shapes, locking members and locked members, and connecting-shape-formed portions.

As to claims 5-8, each discloses a reel with the tape wound around it.

- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by any one of Maruyama et al., Hikita and Japan 07165260. To merely interconnect the tape connecting portions to one another is disclosed by any one of Maruyama et al., Hikita and EP 07165260.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 3311634 in view of either one of Litt et al. (3,414,123) and Busler (3,431,548). DE 3311634 discloses an electronic part supplying tape comprising a recessed portion (3), a feed hole (15), a first connecting portion (one side of 12), and a second connecting portion (the other side of 12), an alignment means (there being two connecting portions in each reference), and a holding means (interengaging portions of the connecting

Art Unit: 3728

portions). Litt et al. and Busler each disclose a first connecting portion (at 22; at 44), and a second connecting portion (at 23; at 46), an alignment means (the shape of the interengaging portions; interaction of the laminated end pieces), and a holding means (22 and 23, or 24; 44 and 46). To modify the tape of DE 3311634 employing the connecting structure of either one of Litt et al. and Busler would have been an obvious substitution of connecting structures already known in the art.

As to claims 2-4, each of the connecting portions of the combination defines fitting shapes, locking members and locked members, and connecting-shape-formed portions.

As to claims 5-8, Litt et al. disclose a reel with the tape wound around it.

- 8. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 3311634 in view of either one of Litt et al. (3,414,123) and Busler. To merely interconnect the tape the combinations of the references disclose connecting portions to one another.
- 9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: I) Figures 4A-B; II) Figures 5A-B; III) Figures 6A-B; IV) Figures 7A-B; V) Figures 8A-C; VI) Figure 9A; VII) Figure 9B; VIII) Figure 10A; IX) Figure 10B; and X) Figure 10C.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no allowable claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryon P. Gehman whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 3728

272-4555. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday from 5:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu, can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-4555.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Day P. Sal

Bryon P. Gehman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

BPG